

Following is a list, in order of dollar amount of penalties imposed by WorkSafeBC in 2008. Note: The Regulation and the Act referred to in this list are, respectively, the Occupational Health and Safety Regulation and the *Workers Compensation Act*. Both can be viewed in entirety on WorkSafeBC.com.

JOB SITE	EMPLOYER NAME	DATE	AMOUNT
DAWSON CREEK	ENCANA CORPORATION — Fatality — The Firm as prime contractor failed to do everything reasonably practicable to coordinate activities and to establish and maintain a system or process to ensure compliance. Inadequately trained workers were performing the high-risk activity of falling trees up to 24 inches in diameter and a worker was struck by two hung up trees. Prime contractor's failure to coordinate activities resulted in lack of first aid services and lack of evacuation procedures that may have prevented worker's death following the incident.	2008/06/13	\$150,000.00
DELTA	DELTA PACIFIC SEAFOODS LTD. — Serious Injury — Repeat failure to ensure a worker wears a seatbelt when operating a forklift. Repeat failure to ensure adequate lights on forklift. Repeat failure to ensure adequate illumination in work areas. Repeat failure to ensure workers exposed to forklift traffic wear high visibility apparel.	2008/09/25	\$93,387.35
PORT MELLON	HOWE SOUND PULP AND PAPER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP — Serious injury — The employer failed to ensure that workers were informed, instructed, trained and supervised regarding lock out for a breaker leading to a cabinet where large cooling fans are housed. A worker attempting to repair a fan was severely burned in an explosion when he dropped his metal flashlight inside the energized cabinet.	2008/04/28	\$75,000.00
CAMPBELL RIVER	CATALYST PAPER CORPORATION — Significant and avoidable violation of requirements to identify and assess risk of asbestos exposure, resulting in uncontrolled worker exposure to loose asbestos debris and associated fibre.	2008/10/22	\$75,000.00
RICHMOND	ANDREW SHERET LTD — Repeat non-compliance with section 11.2(1)(a) and 16.7(d) of the Regulation. A worker was injured when he fell approximately 10 to 12 feet from elevated work platform to grade. The injured worker had been standing on a wooden pallet supported by the forks of a forklift, and there was no guardrail or fall protection system in place.	2008/12/10	\$75,000.00
TRAIL	CANADIAN INDUSTRIAL MILL SERVICES — Fatality — General contractor failed to ensure workers were adequately informed of health and safety hazards associated with use of respirator and fully encapsulated chemical suit and procedures to address loss of supplied air and emergency cylinder air. Worker performing cleaning activities in a boiler wearing a fully encapsulated chemical suit and supplied air respirator asphyxiated when an air control feature on the respirator's regulator was activated and the air supply to the respirator facemask was shut off. Worker's employer, Eveready Industrial Western Ltd., also received penalty in relation to this incident.	07/12/2007	\$73,195.38
VANCOUVER	NEWWAY CONCRETE FORMING LTD. — Failure to maintain safe limits of approach to 12 kv overhead powerline as per Part 19 of the Regulation and written instructions contained in Form 30M33.	2008/03/04	\$70,526.02
GOLD RIVER	LEMARE LAKE LOGGING LTD — Serious injury — Worker was yarding tree length logs when a runaway log struck the cab of the grapple yarder. The cab was sheared off from the grapple yarder. Failure to ensure the health and safety of workers arising from insufficient site planning and supervision.	2008/12/02	\$70,384.21
RICHMOND	NEWWAY CONCRETE FORMING LTD. — Failure to ensure tower crane did not encroach on safe limits of approach to energized overhead conductors, and failure to ensure workers were adequately instructed, trained and supervised.	2008/02/06	\$70,138.96
NANOOSE	TIMBERWEST FOREST CORP — Fatality — Failure, as an employer, to ensure the health and safety of all workers present where the employers work was being carried out in the context of forestry operation involving manual falling on steep terrain.	2008/04/15	\$67,936.72

DELTA	M & A GREENHOUSE SERVICES LTD — Four of the employer's vehicles used to transport farm workers were inspected and the employer was found to have committed multiple violations regarding the use and condition of the vehicles	2008/03/17	\$66,858.24
WILLIAMS LAKE	JACKPINE FOREST PRODUCTS LTD (BKR) — Failure to provide workers information, instruction, training and supervision necessary to ensure the health and safety of those workers in carrying out their work with respect to lock-out and the safe operation of equipment.	2008/05/12	\$64,522.15
RICHMOND	PEDRE CONTRACTORS LTD — The boom of an excavator contacted overhead low voltage lines and failed to maintain a minimum distance of 10 feet from overhead high voltage lines. Firm failed to adequately instruct, train and supervise workers.	2008/06/05	\$64,435.53
RICHMOND	PEDRE CONTRACTORS LTD — Two workers were observed in an unshored, unshored excavation of approximately nine feet deep that had not been approved as safe for worker entry by a professional engineer.	2008/06/05	\$64,435.53
DAWSON CREEK	CORE PIPELINES LTD — While attempting to climb a 32-35% sloped hill covered in ice, a tracked, flat-decked vehicle with a cab spun out and the engine stalled. As a result, and without braking power, the vehicle slid downhill out of control and hit a tree. It was being used to transport workers, all of whom sustained injuries in the form of broken bones and/or bruises. The vehicle was inappropriate for use in transporting workers under the conditions at the site. The Firm failed to ensure the health and safety of the workers present at the workplace, as required by section 115(1) of the Act.	2008/01/04	\$50,076.69
DELTA	ABBOTSFORD CONCRETE PRODUCTS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP — Repeat violations of, and continued non-compliance with, machinery and equipment safeguarding requirements and repeat violations of guardrail and handrail requirements, all of which resulted in high risk of serious injury or death to workers.	2008/05/05	\$61,495.99
DELTA	DYNAMIC CHOCOLATES, ULC — Continued failure to provide lockout procedures and protect workers against the unexpected energization or start-up of machinery or equipment. Continued non-compliance with first-aid, joint committee, and workplace inspection requirements	2008/03/05	\$57,212.48
FERNIE	ISLAND LAKE RESORT GROUP (2003) INC. — A worker (tail guide) was sent out alone to a remote weather station in the Rocky Mountains near Fernie, BC to check on snow conditions during a high risk of avalanche. Worker was alone and without effective communication. An avalanche occurred, burying the tail guide, who was not wearing emergency transceiver, hampering rescue activities	2008/12/18	\$51,900.29
DELTA	HORIZON COMMUNICATIONS LTD — Repeat violations for the failure to ensure workers are provided with and using a fall protection system while working in a boom mounted work platform.	2008/02/15	\$51,178.46
RICHMOND	GOLDWOOD INDUSTRIES LTD — Failure to use personal fall arrest equipment while working from a self-propelled elevating work platform. Fall hazard approximately 30 feet above the ground. Failure to provide workers with adequate information, instruction, training and supervision. Failure to ensure self-propelled elevating work platform (boom lift) was inspected as required	2008/01/04	\$50,076.69
ANAHIM LAKE	WEST CHILCOTIN FOREST PRODUCTS LTD — Serious injury — The Firm failed to provide its workers with the necessary information, instruction, training and supervision surrounding lockout procedures. These violations resulted in an industrial accident which caused significant traumatic injuries.	2008/04/02	\$48,465.20
PARKSVILLE	INTRACORP PROJECTS LTD — Continued and general non-compliance of the Regulation and the Act including violations related to prime contractor responsibilities, supervision and training, personal protective equipment, fall protection, ground fault circuit interrupters, maintenance of equipment, records of inspections and accident investigations.	2008/12/15	\$45,499.50
VANCOUVER	TNL SERVICES LTD — Officer was called to Firm's worksite because a gas pipeline had been ruptured by movement of one of the Firm's excavators. Upon his arrival, three workers were observed working inside an excavation that was approximately 16 feet deep. One of said workers was working outside an improperly installed shoring cage. The excavation's sides had not been adequately sloped or shored and an engineer's certificate for the excavation was not readily available at the worksite. These are repeat violations for this Firm.	2008/12/18	\$44,825.39

KELOWNA	RYKON CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT LTD. — Fatality — Failure to establish and maintain system or process to ensure compliance with the <i>Act</i> and the Regulation. A worker was fatally injured after falling approximately 19 feet from single-family residential home under construction	2008/12/02	\$43,956.44
RICHMOND	625845 B.C. LTD. — Employer failed to identify the friable asbestos containing materials that were damaged during interior demolition work, thereby exposing several workers to asbestos fibers.	2008/02/25	\$33,350.64
VANCOUVER	FCH CONSTRUCTION LTD — Contact with unguarded high voltage power line contrary to the Regulation. In that regard, the employer failed to ensure the health and safety of their workers and other workers in the workplace by failing to provide workers with adequate information, instruction and training regarding the limits of approach.	2008/12/12	\$33,233.08
SURREY	PARALINE COMMERCIAL FORM WORK LTD — Repeat failure of employer to ensure that workers wear personal protective equipment, particularly safety headgear when working in an area where there is a danger of head injury, and for failing to provide to workers with the information, instruction, training and supervision necessary to ensure the health and safety of those workers.	2008/11/06	\$32,034.24
WESTBANK	BORNAU CONSTRUCTION LTD. — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection regulations and employer duties with respect to training, instruction and supervision. Worker was exposed to a fall from a height in excess of 30 feet.	2008/01/08	\$31,863.90
FORT ST. JOHN	CANADIAN NATURAL RESOURCES LIMITED — As prime contractor of worksite, the Firm failed to do everything reasonably practicable to establish and maintain a system or process that would ensure compliance with the <i>Act</i> and Regulation. These are repeat s.118(2)(b) violations.	2008/10/06	\$29,411.92
VANCOUVER	STRUCTA WIRE CORP — Worker injured when hand drawn into unguarded printing press. Employer failed to ensure that the printing press was fitted with adequate safeguards.	2008/11/27	\$28,842.61
DELTA	HUMAN RESOURCES CAPITAL GROUP INC — Serious Injury — Repeat failure to take reasonable steps to ensure the health and safety of workers. Repeat failure to provide information, training, and supervision to ensure workers' safety. Failure to ensure workers exposed to forklift traffic wear high-visibility apparel.	2008/09/25	\$26,846.28
ANMORE	A-1 JAS ROOFING LTD — Employer failed to ensure a fall protection system was being used when work is being done at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur. Workers were 23 to 26 feet above grade. This was a repeat violation.	2008/08/20	\$23,603.85
SURREY	STEELS INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS LTD. — Several fixed welding stations for conducting stainless steel welding were not provided with local exhaust ventilation to minimize worker exposure to harmful airborne contaminants produced by welding, such as hexavalent chromium and which is designated as a human carcinogen. The Firm had failed to comply with previous orders within a reasonable time.	2008/05/08	\$22,966.39
MAPLE RIDGE	MASA PROPERTIES LTD & DALE M.R. HOLDINGS LTD ET AL — Fatality — Framer fell through successive openings in the third and second level floors to the basement concrete floor below. Worker died two days after the incident. The Firm failed to do everything reasonably practicable to establish and maintain a system to ensure compliance with the <i>Act</i> and the Regulation (specifically, fall protection requirements) in respect of the subject work site. Firm's violation in not meeting its supervisory obligations exposed workers to a high risk of serious injury or death.	2008/05/29	\$22,819.92
KAMLOOPS	COMMUNITY ROOFING CO LTD — Worker and his supervisor were observed working at the edge of an 18 foot roof without fall protection. The Firm had previously been found in violation of the Regulation, relating to fall protection.	2008/05/02	\$20,079.75
GIBSONS	HORSMAN TRUCKING LTD — Failure to equip a self-loading log transporter's loader boom heel bar with a deflector shield on the operator's side as required by section 26.70(2) [now section 26.13.1(2)] of the Regulation	2008/11/06	\$20,077.60
TRAIL	EVEREADY INDUSTRIAL WESTERN LTD. — Fatality — Worker performing cleaning activities in a boiler wearing a fully encapsulated chemical suit and supplied air respirator asphyxiated when an air control feature on the respirator's regulator was activated and the air supply to the respirator facemask was shut		\$20,694.28

off. Employer failed to take sufficient precautions for the prevention of work related injuries or illnesses; failed to comply with Section 115(2)(b)(i) of the *Act*; and did not exercise due diligence to prevent these circumstances. General contractor, Canadian Industrial Mill Services, also received penalty related to this incident.

RICHMOND	ALLIED SALVAGE & METALS (1985) LTD — Injury — Failure to ensure health and safety of all workers at a workplace, and repeated failure to ensure that a forklift had been maintained to meet the minimum maintenance and operating requirements. The forklift had an ineffective hand brake, defective seatbelt and lack of mirrors. A worker sustained injuries when the parked forklift rolled backwards and pinned him against another vehicle.	2008/04/15	\$19,557.85
LANGFORD	ROCK CONSTRUCTION & MINING INC — Repeat incidents of fly-rock and violations of blasting regulations at a blasting site.	2008/01/25	\$18,435.88
CHILLIWACK	V. S. M. CONSTRUCTION LTD — The Firm failed to ensure that two of its workers were wearing fall protection while working at a height from which a fall of 30 feet or more could have occurred. This was a repeat violation for Firm.	2008/10/14	\$18,183.75
CAMPBELL RIVER	C C M CONSTRUCTION LTD — Worker working at the edge of a roof 20 feet above an asphalt surface without the use of fall protection. Despite having been found in violation of the fall protection regulations on several occasions in the past the firm failed to provide the worker with adequate training, instruction, and supervision.	2008/06/02	\$17,686.79
SURREY	RETIREMENT CONCEPTS DEVELOPMENTS LTD. — Repeat violation of prime contractor coordination of health and safety activities (section 118 of the <i>Act</i>) and proper orientation of contractors on site – site specific procedures (section 20.3 of the Regulation).	2008/12/16	\$15,142.37
VICTORIA	PROLINE ROOFING LTD — Three workers were on a steep sloped roof, 25 feet to grade without using fall protection as required.	2008/04/01	\$15,077.83
PORT COQUITLAM	COAST MOUNTAIN BUS COMPANY LTD — The employer failed to make reasonable efforts to comply with orders requiring interim measures for compliance with violence in the workplace regulations when older communication equipment was removed, but new communication equipment was not ready to be used.	2008/03/17	\$15,000.00
CAMPBELL RIVER	CITY OF CAMPBELL RIVER — Firm repeatedly failed to ensure that friable asbestos-containing material in workplace was controlled by removal, enclosure or encapsulation so as to prevent the release of airborne asbestos fibre	2008/04/14	\$15,000.00
COURTENAY	WESTFAIR FOODS LTD — Repeat violations regarding the maintenance of storage racking in a safe condition.	2008/05/26	\$15,000.00
RICHMOND	MAINLAND ROOFING LTD — The employer failed to ensure a fall protection system was being used when work is being done at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur. This was a repeat violation. The worker was exposed to a potential fall of 13 feet.	2008/08/18	\$15,000.00
MACKENZIE	CANADIAN FOREST PRODUCTS LTD — Repeat non-compliance with safeguarding requirements and a failure to comply with previous orders in a timely manner	2008/08/19	\$15,000.00
DELTA	HB ROOFING LTD — Repeat non-compliance with requirement to ensure workers are using a fall protection system while working at heights of 10 feet and greater. Two workers observed on a roof approximately 12 feet above grade and with a slope of 8:12 without a fall protection system in place.	2008/10/28	\$14,769.51
DELTA	HB ROOFING LTD — Repeat non-compliance with the fall protection requirements and the requirement to provide workers with adequate information, instruction, training and supervision. A worker was observed on a ladder jack scaffold system which ranged from 7 feet to 19 feet above grade without a fall protection system in place.	2008/10/28	\$14,769.51
FORT ST JAMES	APOLLO FOREST PRODUCTS LTD — Employer, a saw mill, failed, on more than one occasion, to report serious accidents to WorkSafeBC or to immediately conduct accident investigations, both of which are required under the <i>Act</i> .	2008/11/18	\$14,415.38

VANCOUVER	WESBRIDGE STEELWORKS LIMITED — Injuries (2) — Failure to ensure health and safety of a worker of another employer. Failure to ensure equipment (eye bolt) installed in accordance with manufacturer's recommendation and good engineering practices. Improperly installed eye bolt failed, causing a suspended power supply cable to fall from the top of the Shaw Tower, striking workers who sustained non-fatal injuries	2008/01/02	\$14,346.25
NANAIMO	MILNE ROOFING LTD — Four workers observed working without any means of fall protection on a sloped roof approximately 18 feet above grade. Workers had not been properly informed, instructed, trained or supervised. Repeat violation.	2008/09/16	\$14,193.63
NAKUSP	REITMEIER LOGGING LTD — Fatality — Failure to ensure safety of workers. Failure to safely plan and conduct yarding operations. Failure to ensure workers were positioned to avoid being exposed to moving hazards during yarding.	2008/10/27	\$13,547.74
ABBOTSFORD	PAZ FUELS LTD — Continued non-compliance with the requirement to ensure prepayment for fuel prior to pumping.	2008/11/06	\$13,404.24
UCLUELET	BORNSTEIN SEAFOODS CANADA LTD — Serious Injury — A new worker's right foot required amputation when he stepped on unguarded energized screw type auger.	2008/11/21	\$12,851.70
SICAMOUS	TWIN ANCHORS MARINE (1977) LTD — Worker was observed performing metal inert gas welding on an aluminum pontoon while not wearing respiratory protection and not using any local exhaust ventilation. Repeat violation for this Firm.	2008/12/02	\$12,839.70
VANCOUVER	KENT METAL PRODUCTS LTD — The employer has failed to comply with previously issued orders regarding safeguarding of equipment.	2008/10/15	\$12,790.77
MAPLE RIDGE	NOBLE CUSTOM CUT LTD — Non-compliance of the Regulation requiring safeguarding of various chain sprocket drives and v-belt drive components, emergency stopping device and protection from the hazard of rolling logs.	2008/07/24	\$11,920.65
COURTENAY	LEEMAC ELECTRIC LTD — High risk electrical lock out violations and failure to provide adequate information, instruction, training and supervision of workers in the safe performance of their work.	2008/09/23	\$11,338.68
COURTENAY	CHRISTOPHER LESLIE BOND —Firm failed to ensure workers complied with fall protection regulations, and also failed to ensure workers were provided with information, instruction and training necessary to ensure health and safety at the workplace. These are repeat violations.	2008/11/12	\$10,403.26
DELTA	SEALUM INDUSTRIES LTD — Failure to comply with previous orders relating to maintaining controls on overhead bridge cranes; conducting regular inspections and maintenance on overhead bridge cranes; and maintaining effective inspection and maintenance records for overhead bridge cranes.	2008/05/30	\$9,972.86
COURTENAY	BRYTAR CONTRACTING INC — Repeat non-compliance with scaffolding requirements.	2008/11/14	\$9,601.44
PORT ALBERNI	FEDJE AND GUNDERSON CONTRACTORS LTD — Tree falling work was being conducted directly beneath standing danger trees. In addition, the supervisor left a cut-up tree then led himself and three workers into the area made dangerous by the cut-up tree.	2008/01/18	\$9,592.08
NEW WESTMINSTER	FRASER SHIPYARD & INDUSTRIAL CENTRE LTD — Employer had not implemented effective compliant confined space entry program as evidenced by identified non compliance with training, hazard assessment, procedures, testing frequency, rescue plan and equipment in regards to confined space entry work.	2008/02/13	\$9,120.00
PRINCE GEORGE	MAC'S PIZZA LTD — Failure to comply with order in a reasonable time frame. Firm was issued numerous orders to establish and maintain a joint health and safety committee in the workplace.	2008/11/12	\$8,635.04
RICHMOND	LUXURY SMOKERS LTD — Serious injury — Failure to adequately safeguard a fish slicer in that a worker could reach the hazardous point of operation. Failure to ensure the energy source of a fish slicer was isolated and effectively controlled (locked out) before work was carried out below the blade area in a location that could, and did, cause injury. Failure to provide adequate information, instruction and training with regards to lockout, which resulted in a serious injury to a worker	2008/04/04	\$8,318.45

LANGFORD	R & D ROOFING AND SIDING LTD — Repeat violations of first aid requirements and requirement to provide workers with adequate information, instruction, training and supervision to ensure health and safety of those workers in carrying out their work. Worker was working on a roof without a first aid attendant on site. Worker was not adequately supervised as the only supervisor on site was the Firm owner who was working alongside worker on the roof.	2008/06/17	\$8,303.45
MAPLE RIDGE	JOSHUA STEPHEN JAMES NICHOLSON & NATALIE NICHOLSON — Repeat non-compliance of Regulation pertaining to the provision of fall protection for workers employed at elevations of 10 feet or greater.	2008/11/04	\$8,071.40
KELOWNA	SCUKA ENTERPRISES LTD — Injury — A worker was injured when he fell 8 feet off of an unsecured, forklift elevated work platform. Lift truck operator had not been trained to the required standard and the elevated worker was not wearing a personal fall arrest system when working on an elevated work platform. This was a repeat violation.	2008/03/19	\$7,796.76
VICTORIA	RAJMEN ENTERPRISES LTD — Two workers observed conducting window cleaning activities at elevation more than 10 feet with no effective means of fall protection. Workers exposed to a high risk of serious injury or death from a fall.	2008/11/06	\$7,775.80
BURNABY	LP TILE ROOFING CO LTD — Worker was observed working at elevations of up to 23 feet on a roof with a 5:12 slope. Worker was wearing a harness but had not connected it to a lanyard and was unable to demonstrate acceptable method for doing so. Worker had not been properly informed, instructed, trained or supervised.	2008/03/17	\$7,630.29
RICHMOND	LP TILE ROOFING CO LTD — Three workers observed working at elevations from 15 to 23 feet, on a roof with steep slopes varying between 8:12 and 10:12. No workers was using fall protection correctly or able to demonstrate proper fall protection methods	2008/03/17	\$7,630.29
KAMLOOPS	HALL EXCAVATING LTD — Failure to ensure health and safety of workers present at a workplace at which employer's work was being carried out. Firm's worker dug an excavation out of backfilled, uncompacted soil, which was in at some parts, greater than 12 feet deep. Walls of excavation were nearly vertical, and there was no shoring or engineering of excavation to provide for worker safety. Excavation had been prepared so that another firm's worker could install plumbing services at the site. The violation was considered to have been committed wilfully or with reckless disregard because Firm was aware of regulatory requirements concerning excavations, but had not complied in order to avoid additional expenses that would be incurred as a result of removing additional soil and later delivering soil to the site.	2008/12/03	\$7,583.05
COQUITLAM	NATT ROOFING LTD — Repeat penalty. Repeat failure to ensure fall protection system used when work is being done at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur.	2008/11/21	\$7,565.60
COQUITLAM	NATT ROOFING LTD — Repeat penalty. Multiple repeat violations. Repeat failure to ensure fall protection system is used when work is being done at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur. Repeat failure to have a written fall protection plan for a workplace work is being done at a location where workers are not protected by permanent guardrails, and from which a fall of 25 feet or more may occur. Repeat failure to provide to the employer's workers the information, instruction, training and supervision necessary to ensure the health and safety of those workers in carrying out their work and to ensure the health and safety of other workers at the workplace.	2008/11/21	\$7,565.60
RICHMOND	MAINLAND ROOFING LTD — Worker observed on the edge of a 12:12 roof at heights between 11 and 32 feet above grade with no means of fall protection. Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements and requirements to provide workers with adequate information, instruction, training and supervision.	2008/02/29	\$7,500.00
SURREY	ZENITH ROOFING LTD. — This employer violated fall protection regulations when applying roofing materials at heights more than 10 feet above grade despite receiving several orders and warnings from various officers in the preceding year. A director of the Firm injured himself when he lost his balance and fell approximately 13 feet from a steep sloped roof. He was not using fall protection.	2008/03/31	\$7,500.00
KAMLOOPS	WOODTICK CONSTRUCTION LTD — Two of Firm's workers were working without fall protection on a roof that was in excess of 10 feet above grade. These were repeat violations.	2008/11/19	\$7,496.68

CAMPBELL RIVER	NOR-KAM'S INVESTMENTS LTD — Repeat non-compliance with requirements for fall protection, work platforms, and engineer's inspections of concrete formwork. Worker was observed climbing a gang form approximately 25 feet above grade without fall protection.	2008/02/26	\$7,372.50
PRINCE GEORGE	ROLLING MIX CONCRETE (BC) LTD — Repeat violation of the Regulation regarding environmental tobacco smoke in the workplace. Non-designated smoking area contained full ashtray and did not have a "no smoking" sign. Worker was observed smoking a cigarette while walking through the work area	2008/04/03	\$7,323.64
ABBOTSFORD	RITE-WAY ROOFING LTD — Worker was observed on a steep sloped roof, over 20 feet to grade without using any form of fall protection. At the time of the inspection, a director of the Firm was onsite in a vehicle that contained fall protection equipment. Firm had previously been found in violation of the sections of the Regulation relating to fall protection.	2008/04/15	\$7,012.40
KELOWNA	ROZA E LUTSENKO & SIMON J LUTSENKO — Injury — Non-compliance with fall protection requirements and repeat non-compliance with requirement that employer provide workers with information, instruction, training and supervision necessary to ensure health and safety. Worker was injured when he fell from the top plate of a two-storey residential house wall approximately 18 feet to grade. Worker was standing on top plate receiving trusses up to 21 feet in length and 8 feet in height without a fall protection system in place	2008/08/01	\$6,821.58
VANCOUVER	MARS FRAMING SERVICES LTD. — Employer failed to ensure a fall protection system was being used when work is being done at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur. This was repeat violation. Two workers were performing framing work at the edge of an unfinished floor 20 feet above the ground without a fall protection system in place	2008/08/11	\$6,815.98
CAMPBELL RIVER	WOODHEART FOREST RESOURCES LTD — The employer, as prime contractor, failed to coordinate work activities, failed to ensure use of a fall protection system and failed to ensure the provision of first aid. An unoriented third-party worker fell through an unguarded opening in the deck of the barge.	2008/12/04	\$6,638.08
SURREY	NORCO ENTERPRISES LTD — Repeat contravention of section 20.79(1) of the Regulation – failure to accurately locate underground utilities to prevent accidental contact with electrical conductor.	2008/11/03	\$6,637.93
CHILLIWACK	ANTON VAN DE POL & BERDINA VAN DE POL — Firm failed to ensure worker was wearing fall protection while working at the edge of a 10/12 sloped roof 10.45 metres above grade. This was a repeat violation.	2008/10/09	\$6,452.00
KALEDEN	REPLAY GOLF SUPPLIES INC. — Diver fatality — Employer failed to ensure health and safety of workers by permitting divers to work without supervision or diving procedures	2008/06/10	\$6,377.20
COOMBS	CUSTOM METAL PRODUCTS INC — Serious injury (2) — Two workers sustained serious injuries as a result of using unguarded equipment. Employer failed to provide adequate information, instruction, training and supervision necessary to ensure the safety of its workers.	2008/10/07	\$6,068.92
CHILLIWACK	QUADRI PROJECTS LTD — Employer failed to properly shore a trench 13 feet deep, 7 feet wide, and 60 feet long. Two young workers were working in the unshored trench. Employer had neither instructed nor trained the workers with respect to safe work procedures or requirements related to trenching	2008/11/04	\$6,053.73
LANGFORD	ROCK CONSTRUCTION & MINING INC — Inspection of the Firm was conducted following the incident which initiated RFS 200500437 (failure to control fly material during blasting operations). Officer determined that practices and procedures the Firm had submitted to WorkSafeBC to comply with outstanding orders had not been communicated to the blaster. Blaster was unaware of specific procedures the Firm stated it would implement to prevent another incident of fly material escaping the blast area during blasting operations	2008/01/25	\$5,949.74
PORT MOODY	LP TILE ROOFING CO LTD — Serious injury — Worker fell approximately 30 feet to grade after falling off a residential construction roof. Site inspection revealed worker was not wearing fall protection, nor was there any evidence on site either of supervision or a site-specific fall protection plan	2008/03/17	\$5,869.45
SQUAMISH	FORSITE CONSTRUCTION INC — Prime contractor failed to do everything reasonably practicable to establish and maintain a system or process to ensure compliance with Part 3 of the Act and the Regulation in respect of the workplace.	2008/12/01	\$5,616.94

BURNABY	HARVARD RENTAL CORPORATION — Worker was moving heavy cement blocks while wearing running shoes, rather than safety-toed footwear. Firm had previously been found in violation of numerous sections of the Regulation, relating to footwear, first aid, and buildings and structures and failed to comply with previous orders within a reasonable time	2008/04/15	\$5,114.48
SURREY	PARADISE ROOFING LTD — Employer has repeatedly violated the fall protection requirements. Workers were exposed to fall hazards ranging from approximately 13.5 to over 25 feet.	2008/06/10	\$5,000.00
KAMLOOPS	SPECIALTY ROOFING LTD — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements. Worker and the Firm owner observed working on a roof approximately 12 feet 10.5 inches above grade without a fall protection system in place.	2008/07/10	\$5,000.00
RICHMOND	GURDIP S NIZHER — Repeat non-compliance with the Regulation requiring employer to ensure a fall protection system is used when work is being done at a place from which a fall of 10 ft. or more may occur. Workers were working approximately 30 feet up and standing and walking within 2 feet of the leading edge of the roof.	2008/07/23	\$5,000.00
COQUITLAM	EDUCAN INSTITUTIONAL FURNITURE LTD — Continued non-compliance with orders to initiate and maintain an informal health and safety program	2008/04/24	\$4,714.39
VANCOUVER	DONOVAN MANAGEMENT LTD — As prime contractor of this worksite, Firm failed to ensure the activities of employers, workers and other persons at the workplace relating to occupational health and safety were coordinated. In this instance, the Firm failed to accurately locate an underground utility service that resulted in a worker being exposed to a high risk of serious illness or death	2008/09/03	\$4,454.38
COQUITLAM	550395 BC LTD — Firm failed to ensure health and safety of its workers. In an earlier incident, worker was injured when he failed to properly lock out a bread dough rising machine. During a follow up inspection, the same machine was observed to be unguarded, and worker operating the machine could not describe machine's lockout procedure.	2008/09/05	\$4,353.46
PORT KELLS	PORT KELLS TRUCK & TRAILER REPAIRS LTD — Repeat non-compliance with section .8.40(2.1)(b) of the Regulation. Employer did not carry out fit-testing for respirators despite being told to do so on numerous occasion	2008/12/02	\$4,292.98
BURNABY	618489 BC LTD — Repeat violation of the Regulation requiring employer to provide workers with information, instruction, training and supervision necessary to ensure health and safety of those workers in carrying out their work. Two workers were working at heights of over 20 feet without fall protection.	2008/01/22	\$4,292.18
WILLIAMS LAKE	MANDRAX ENTERPRISES LTD — Failure to adequately slope the sides of a 6 foot excavation. Workers observed in inadequately sloped excavation dug in loose soil with fill piled several feet high on one side. In addition, a 15 tonne excavator was being operated near the edge of the excavation. High risk violation.	2008/02/19	\$4,196.08
VICTORIA	R & D ROOFING AND SIDING LTD. — Six workers, including Firm's owner, were observed demolishing and re-roofing a house at elevations between 12 and 20 feet. Two workers were incorrectly using fall protection equipment, while the other four used none at all. Firm had a prior history of non-compliance.	2008/03/20	\$4,004.78
GOLD RIVER	THIBAUT LOGGING LTD. — Mechanized falling machine (feller - buncher) was observed falling trees within one tree length of an active highway. Further, there was no signage or traffic control system in place to warn drivers of the falling activities. Employer penalized for failing to observe the two-tree length rule and for failing to provide to the employer's workers the information, instruction, training and supervision necessary to ensure health and safety of those workers in carrying out their work and to ensure the health and safety of other workers at the workplace.	2008/12/15	\$3,579.08
VICTORIA	JOHNSON BROS ROOFING LTD — Worker observed installing flashing on a structure, approximately 20 feet above grade. Worker had combined elements of fall restraint and fall arrest systems rendering the fall protection used ineffective	2008/02/28	\$3,480.30
MERRITT	AZTECH HOME SALES LTD. — Firm's supervisor was observed working in excess of 10 feet on sloped roof without using fall protection. During two prior inspections, Firm was found to be in violation of fall protection requirements under the Regulation. Worker was at risk of falling between 12 to 16 feet	2008/08/11	\$3,428.25

SUN PEAKS	GREYHER CONTRACTING CORPORATION — Worker was observed not wearing safety headgear in a work area where there was a danger of head injury from falling, flying or thrown objects	2008/04/03	\$3,299.94
SPARWOOD	543400 BC LTD — Fatality — Unsupervised, inadequately trained worker fatally wounded when caught in hydraulic ram of firewood splitter he was operating.	2008/01/31	\$3,250.00
PORT MELLON	DEREK KOVACIC & JOHN N VERDONK — Workers failed to remove dangerously leaning and snagging cedar and hemlock trees before cutting down trees in the direct vicinity, exposing workers to risk of being hit by the danger trees or portions of them. Stumps on site also revealed use of other unsafe falling practices. Firm had also failed to comply with previous orders within a reasonable time	2008/05/08	\$3,250.00
POWELL RIVER	BRIAN HUBERT GREEN — Numerous contraventions of the Regulation, including high risk repeat violations with respect to fall protection	2008/11/05	\$3,250.00
KAMLOOPS	617538 BC LTD — Employer failed to ensure workers used a means of fall protection when working at elevations in excess of 10 feet.	2008/12/03	\$3,250.00
FORT ST JOHN	HANDY MAN CONTRACTING LTD. — Firm failed to ensure workers complied with the fall protection requirements, and that workers were provided with information, instruction and training necessary to ensure their health and safety at the workplace. Fall protection violation is a repeat violation.	2008/11/10	\$3,208.30
RICHMOND	B. CHEEMA ROOFING LTD. — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements and requirement to have a written fall protection plan for a workplace where a fall of 25 feet or more may occur. Non-compliance with the requirement to provide workers with the adequate information, instruction, training and supervision necessary to ensure the health and safety of those workers. Four workers were observed on a roof ranging from 15 to 28 feet above grade without a fall protection system in place	2008/08/28	\$3,099.95
COURTENAY	DEVON RICHARD KNAPFL — Repeat failure to utilize a fall protection system where work was being done at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur. Employer observed at the edge of the roof without fall protection. Employer aware of requirements to utilize fall protection.	2008/11/14	\$3,033.88
DELTA	THOMAS PLETT AND SHELTER HOMES INC. — Employer failed to ensure a fall protection system was being used when work is being done at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur. This was a repeat violation	2008/07/30	\$2,977.80
RICHMOND	THOMAS PLETT AND SHELTER HOMES INC. — Employer failed to ensure a fall protection system was being used when work is being done at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur. This was a repeat violation. One worker was on an inadequately built scaffold that was mounted on the first floor roof. The other worker was directing the worker on the lower level roof from the peak of the second storey roof. This work was taking place on a rainy day and the ground below the roof was strewn with construction debris.	2008/07/30	\$2,977.80
BURNABY	MANRAJ FRAMING & CONSTRUCTION LTD — Repeat non-compliance with the Regulation requiring employer to ensure a fall protection system is used when work is being done at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur	2008/06/24	\$2,919.67
SALMON ARM	TREVOR HARRISON CONSTRUCTION LTD — Firm's director and a worker were working 17 feet above grade, on the top plate of a second story wall of a house under construction, without using fall protection. The director was standing close to the edge, while helping to land a load of wooden trusses.	2008/03/03	\$2,783.68
PRINCE GEORGE	A.V. JAY - ROOFING LTD. — A supervisor and a worker were on a 12:12 pitch roof approximately 16 feet above the ground. Neither were using fall protection. Both the workers were using a toe board to stand on the roof. There were three toe boards on the roof ranging from 8-16 feet above grade. A worker slipped and almost fell while attempting to descend from the uppermost toe board	2008/07/14	\$2,750.00
COURTENAY	NELSON STANLEY HANSON — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements and requirement to provide workers with adequate information, instruction, training and supervision to ensure health and safety of those workers. Four workers and the Firm owner were observed on a roof approximately 11 feet 5 inches above grade without a fall protection system in place.	2008/08/19	\$2,731.28

WILLIAMS LAKE	P.T.C. CONSTRUCTION LTD. — Repeat violations for inadequate construction of wood scaffolding	2008/11/10	\$2,678.20
NEW WESTMINSTER	PRO FLO DRAINAGE & LANDSCAPE CONTRACTING LTD — Two workers were observed working in a trench that ranged from 4 to 7 feet deep. The sides of the excavation were not sloped or supported as specified by a professional engineer. The workers were not protected by shoring cages or other effective means. Neither worker had been trained or instructed on when shoring was required in an excavation	2008/04/15	\$2,651.13
NEW WESTMINSTER	AL'S ONE STOP SERVICES LTD — Employer acted in a manner which impeded or dissuaded a worker from reporting an injury and filing a claim with WorkSafeBC	2008/01/18	\$2,500.00
COLWOOD	OLDE TOWNE ROOFING LTD — Worker observed working at an elevation of approximately 30 feet and not using an effective means of fall protection. Worker was exposed to a high risk of serious injury from a fall.	2008/02/07	\$2,500.00
MACKENZIE	LORETTA J DECOTEAU — Fatality — Driver of a loaded logging truck died when his truck left the road as he was attempting to pass a truck going the opposite direction. Employer failed to adequately supervise a newly hired logging truck driver	2008/04/03	\$2,500.00
BURNABY	SUPERSAVE CONSTRUCTION LTD — Serious injury — Repeated non-compliance of requirement to maintain equipment. A pneumatic power nailer misfired, resulting in the near-loss of a worker's eye. Nailer had misfired previously and was not properly outfitted with a nose guard even after a worker was previously injured	2008/04/04	\$2,500.00
BURNABY	WELDEWORKS INC — Fatality — Failure to ensure health and safety of all workers working for the employer. Worker fatally injured after falling approximately 35 feet from the fourth level of a storage racking system that was being constructed. No fall protection system was in place to prevent the fall.	2008/05/29	\$2,500.00
NORTH DELTA	SPECIALTY ROOFING LTD — Repeat violation for failure to ensure a fall protection system is in place and used when working 10 feet or more above grade	2008/06/02	\$2,500.00
VANCOUVER	MARTA ZILBERTS — Repeat violation of section 11.2(1)(a) of the Regulation pertaining to fall protection requirements.	2008/06/04	\$2,500.00
VANCOUVER	DOSANJH CONSTRUCTION LTD — Repeat violation of the fall protection requirements. Worker and the Firm owner were observed working near the edge of a roof approximately 20 feet above grade without a fall protection system in place	2008/07/10	\$2,500.00
VANCOUVER	DOSANJH CONSTRUCTION LTD — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements. Employer also failed to have written fall protection plan for the workplace where work was being done at a height of 25 feet and greater as well as failing to provide adequate information, instruction, training and supervision to ensure health and safety of its workers. Two workers were observed conducting framing activities on a roof approximately 25 feet above grade with no fall protection system in place. Firm's supervisor was on site at the time of the violation.	2008/07/10	\$2,500.00
BURNABY	DAI CHONG ROOFING & CONSTRUCTION LTD. — Repeat non-compliance with the fall protection requirements. Worker was observed standing on a sloped roof 16 feet above grade without a fall protection system in place.	2008/07/25	\$2,500.00
HOPE	NATHEN POITTRIS — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements. Two workers and Firm owner observed at the edge of a roof approximately 20 feet above grade without a fall protection system in place.	2008/07/31	\$2,500.00
CHILLIWACK	KURTIS CONTRACTING LTD — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements. Worker and Firm owner were observed working on a roof approximately 36 feet above without a fall protection system in place	2008/07/31	\$2,500.00
VICTORIA	SKYTECH ENTERPRISES INC — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements. Worker was observed working at the edge of a roof approximately 20 feet above grade without a fall protection system in place.	2008/08/08	\$2,500.00
SURREY	PETER FUNK — Repeat non-compliance with the fall protection requirements. Worker observed on a 12:12 sloped roof approximately 20 feet above grade without a fall protection system in place.	2008/08/11	\$2,500.00

VANCOUVER	COAST TO COAST ROOFING & RUBBISH REMOVAL LTD. — Worker without any form of fall protection was observed in excess of 30 feet above grade on a steep-sloped roof. This repeat violation of fall protection requirements took place in rainy conditions with items on the ground that would pose an additional risk to a falling worker	2008/08/27	\$2,500.00
VANCOUVER	JOHAL ROOFING LTD — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements and requirement to provide workers with adequate information, instruction, training and supervision to ensure the health and safety of those workers. Two workers observed on edge of a 4:12 sloped roof at heights 20 feet above grade with no means of fall protection.	2008/08/29	\$2,500.00
PORT MCNEILL	CLEMENT TAPP — Fatality — Failure to ensure workers use fall protection equipment and related equipment as required. One worker fell to the ground approximately 13 feet below and struck his head on a cement retaining curb. The worker later died in the hospital.	2008/10/03	\$2,500.00
LANGLEY	PLANIT INDUSTRIES & RESEARCH LTD — Employer knowingly violated order to Stop Work.	2008/10/10	\$2,500.00
SURREY	0800312 B.C. LTD — Firm failed to ensure worker was wearing fall protection while working at the edge of a roof that was approximately 15 feet above grade. Pitch of the roof was approximately 8:12. This was a repeat violation	2008/10/14	\$2,500.00
COQUITLAM	GURINDER CHOHAN CONSTRUCTION & FRAMING LTD — Three violations within seven-month period were identified pertaining to the failure to provide a stairway comprised of at least framing, treads and a handrail to each floor level before construction of the next floor or deck surface is undertaken	2008/11/06	\$2,500.00
PORT ALBERNI	DOMINION LOG SORT LTD. — Fatality — Employer failed to ensure safety of equipment in use on their job site. Employer failed to ensure use of safe work procedures. These failures in combination resulted in a fatal industrial accident	2008/11/14	\$2,500.00
NORTH VANCOUVER	PATTAR ROOFING LTD — Repeat non-compliance with the Regulation requiring employer to ensure a fall protection system is used when work is being conducted at a place from which a fall of 10 feet or more may occur.	2008/12/09	\$2,500.00
POWELL RIVER	SHANE AGIUS — Three workers and Firm's principal were observed at elevations greater than 15 feet on a steep sloped roof without fall protection or fall protection plan in place. High-risk violation.	2008/12/09	\$2,500.00
SURREY	CSM DEVELOPMENTS LTD — Continued non-compliance with requirement of a prime contractor to do everything that is reasonably practicable to establish and maintain system or process that will ensure compliance with the <i>Act</i> and the Regulation in respect of the workplace	2008/12/22	\$2,500.00
KAMLOOPS	T & N ROOFING LTD — Two workers observed working more than 10 feet above grade without using adequate fall protection. Supervisor was on site and in full view of unprotected workers.	2008/08/20	\$2,197.11
WHITE ROCK	DAY AND KNIGHT PLUMBING HEATING LTD — Repeat non-compliance with the first aid provisions of the Regulation.	2008/08/12	\$2,132.27
KAMLOOPS	HALSTON HOMES LIMITED — Repeat non-compliance with fall protection requirements for work over 10 feet.	2008/10/16	\$1,757.99
LAKE COUNTRY	SHANE LARUE PETITT & CHRISTINA MIMI PETITT — One of the partners of the Firm was observed working approximately 20 feet above ground level on a 6:12 pitch roof without the use of fall protection. Firm had been inspected approximately 2 months earlier and an order was issued at that time for violation of fall protection regulatory requirements	2008/08/12	\$1,750.00
KAMLOOPS	599294 BC LTD — Owner/prime contractor of this multi-employer worksite failed to coordinate and have a system in place to ensure compliance with the Regulations regarding fall protection, safety headgear and first aid equipment and services.	2008/09/09	\$1,000.00